

Liberation Canon Support Volume

Summary Map - Glossary / Cross-Reference Index - Evidentiary Appendix + Adoption Roadmap -
April 2026

Liberation Canon Support Volume Summary Map - Glossary / Cross-Reference Index - Evidentiary Appendix +
Adoption Roadmap Compiled as a navigational, teaching, and pilot-implementation volume for the Liberation Canon
April 2026 1

Contents

Part I - Liberation Canon Summary Map

Part II - Liberation Canon Glossary / Cross-Reference Index

Part III - Evidentiary Appendix + Adoption Roadmap

This support volume is designed to make the canon easier to teach, navigate, and pilot. It consolidates the three
companion support documents into one bound reference artifact. 2 3

Part I - Liberation Canon Summary Map

Integrated from the standalone support artifact for bound reference use.

Purpose

This document is a working map of the Liberation Canon. Its job is not to restate every text in full. Its job is to make the
canon navigable, teachable, and pilotable. Canon at a Glance Layer Primary text Core function Practical use Existential
anchor Declaration of Liberation Moral and civic provocation Opens the project without binding law Philosophical
foundation Liberation Codex First principles and institutional diagnosis Explains why present systems fail Constitutional
framework Global Liberation Constitution Rights, PRAS, enforcement, federation, AI baseline constraints Supplies the
general operating system Justice architecture Liberation-Aligned Justice System Classification, sentencing, review,
anti-corruption, synthetic systems, funding, judiciary, cooperation Supplies the justice engine Public legibility layer
Constitutional Companion Plain-language explanation and adoption guidance Makes the constitutional layer accessible
Applied method 9/11 texts and comparable essays Demonstration of adversarial scrutiny in practice Shows how the
method works on live controversies The Layered Architecture The canon works best when read as a stack: the
Declaration opens the moral horizon, the Codex explains the diagnosis, the GLC establishes the constitutional operating
layer, the L-AJS becomes the justice engine, the Companion becomes the citizen bridge, and the applied texts
demonstrate the method in practice.

- Declaration: moral and civic provocation without binding legal force.

4

- Codex: truth -> fairness -> justice -> peace -> flourishing chain, plus institutional diagnosis.

- GLC: rights, public meaning, PRAS, anti-capture design, federation logic, and AI baseline
constraints.

- L-AJS: six-class taxonomy, equivalent justice, elite criminality, synthetic systems, fiscal

sufficiency, judiciary, and reciprocity.

- Companion: constitutional legibility for ordinary readers.
- Applied texts: worked examples of adversarial scrutiny without speculative overreach.

Which Text Governs Which Question? Governing question Primary home Working answer What rights exist? GLC Rights, duties, public meaning, record traceability, and anti-capture baseline How should truth be preserved? GLC + Codex PRAS, redaction maps, evidence hooks, correctability How should justice classify people and harms? L-AJS Article III Six-class taxonomy and harm/offender synthesis How is elite criminality handled? L-AJS Article VI Class VI doctrine, equivalent liability, anti-laundersing How are synthetic systems handled? GLC Article VII + L-AJS Article

XIII

No black-box punishment; tiered synthetic standing doctrine How is underfunding prevented? L-AJS Article XIV Anti-starvation doctrine and minimum capacities How are judges selected and checked? L-AJS Article XV Selection, tenure, discipline, removal, and recusal How do compliant jurisdictions cooperate? L-AJS Article XVI Conditional reciprocity, extradition, and anti-safe haven doctrine

Dispute Resolution Pathways

Practical governance needs routes for conflict. The canon increasingly supports a tiered model in which mediation, arbitration, and adjudication are chosen according to stakes, power asymmetry, evidentiary complexity, and public interest. Mediation Use mediation where relationships can still be repaired and where the dispute does not require authoritative public fact-finding. Example: a local review board and a reintegration provider disagree over notification workflow and role boundaries. Arbitration Use arbitration where technical or contractual complexity is high and a binding but specialized answer is needed. Example: two adopting jurisdictions disagree over whether a PRAS vendor met interoperability and anti-tamper duties. Adjudication Use adjudication where liberty, constitutional meaning, systemic corruption, or grave public harm is implicated. Example: a captured jurisdiction refuses to surrender a politically connected Class VI actor while suppressing evidence. Mixed scenario A juvenile synthetic-systems case involving school surveillance abuse, parental conflict, vendor opacity, and youth harm may begin with mediation for immediate safety planning, move to arbitration on vendor compliance, and end in adjudication on rights violations and systemic remedies. Pilot Adoption Sequence - - - - - Stage 1 - Orientation: Summary Map, Companion, secular declaration option, reading sessions. Stage 2 - Diagnosis: Codex workshops, local failure-mode mapping, record-risk inventory. Stage 3 - Record Integrity Pilot: PRAS-like registry, complaint traceability, redaction-map discipline. Stage 4 - Justice Pilot: classification review panel, victim-rights enforcement, anti-starvation metrics, judicial recusal and discipline. Stage 5 - Reciprocity Pilot: model cooperation agreement, evidence-sharing baseline, extradition screen for due process and capture risk. Stage 6 - Synthetic Governance Readiness: inventory of systems affecting liberty, no-black box compliance screening, immutable log requirements, human review preservation. 5

Part II - Liberation Canon Glossary / Cross-Reference Index

Integrated from the standalone support artifact for bound reference use. How to Use This Index This index is a navigation layer. It reduces friction across the canon by giving readers a common vocabulary and a quick way to locate the strongest treatment of each concept. - - - - teaching and orientation editorial harmonization pilot design dispute-resolution and governance design public-facing explanation

Glossary

Anti-capture Design features that prevent institutions from insulating themselves against truth, review, and correction. Article VI criminality Institutional or elite criminality enabled, concealed, normalized, or protected by office, wealth, bureaucracy, corporate structure, or systemic power. Auditability The property of a process, record, or institution being reconstructible, reviewable, and challengeable. Class I-VI The L-AJS offender taxonomy: wrongful, low-risk,

trauma-linked reformable, violent reform possible, predatory/incorrigible, and elite/institutional. Correctability The ability of a civilization or institution to detect error, reopen records, and adapt without collapse into denial. Equivalent justice The principle that wealth, prestige, and social insulation may not reduce accountability for comparable harms. Fiscal sufficiency The duty to resource justice functions enough that rights are not starved into fiction. 6 Juridical Synthetic Agent A synthetic system that demonstrates enough continuity and autonomous causation to be treated as an adjudicable actor. Liberation Chain Truth -> Fairness -> Justice -> Peace -> Flourishing. No sacralization rule The principle that no canon text may become unquestionable scripture.

PRAS

Public Record and Accountability System: constitutional memory infrastructure designed to preserve traceability and public contestability. Protected Synthetic Standing A high evidentiary threshold at which a synthetic entity may qualify for protection beyond property status. Redaction map A disclosure trace showing what was withheld, why, and under what authority or timeline. Regulated Autonomous System A synthetic system subject to licensing, limits, and oversight without any presumption of personhood or protected standing. Restitution Structured repair of material, economic, civic, or practical harms caused by the offender. Synthetic evidence doctrine The rule that synthetic outputs are not self-authenticating and must remain independently testable and challengeable. Truth-first The operational rule that evidence and factual integrity outrank throughput, prestige, and convenience. Upstream duties Duties to identify and publicly address recurring conditions that breed crime, corruption, and repeated harm.

Cross-Reference Index

Concept Introduced in Public-facing explanation Operationalized in 7 8 Truth-first Codex; GLC public meaning L-AJS Article II Companion Equivalent justice GLC equality frame L-AJS Articles I, VI, X Summary Map + Companion PRAS / record integrity GLC L-AJS Article IX Companion Six-class taxonomy L-AJS Article III L-AJS Articles IV, V, X Appendix A Quick Reference Victim repair L-AJS Articles IV and

VIII

L-AJS Section 8.25 enforcement Companion justice sections Correctability Codex GLC enforcement logic; L-AJS review doctrine Summary Map Synthetic systems GLC baseline AI constraints L-AJS Article XIII Summary Map + future civic materials Fiscal sufficiency Implied constitutional duty L-AJS Article XIV Adoption Roadmap Judicial integrity Rule-of-law frame L-AJS Article XV Governance teaching materials Inter-jurisdictional cooperation Federation logic L-AJS Article XVI Adoption Roadmap

Dispute Resolution Terminology

Mode Best use Typical output Canonical caution Mediation Repairable conflicts, operational misunderstanding, relationship salvage Facilitated agreement or protocol reset Do not use where coercion or rights asymmetry makes consent fictive Arbitration Technical, contractual, or specialist disputes needing binding answer Binding award or remedial order Must not become a private secrecy shield against public interest review Adjudication Liberty, constitutional meaning, systemic harm, elite crime, captured institutions Reviewable findings and enforceable orders Must preserve due process and public reason Practical routing example: if two adopting jurisdictions disagree about a synthetic compliance platform used in juvenile release review, mediation may address workflow breakdowns, arbitration may resolve vendor obligations and cost allocation, and adjudication may resolve whether black-box reasoning materially affected liberty or constitutional rights. 9

Part III - Evidentiary Appendix + Adoption Roadmap

Integrated from the standalone support artifact for bound reference use.

Purpose of This Appendix

The canon is strongest when its constitutional claims are connected to public examples, implementation logic, and phased adoption rather than left floating as abstract principle. - - - identify what kinds of evidence best support the canon provide a starter matrix of public case types translate the work into a phased adoption roadmap show how mediation, arbitration, and adjudication function in complex pilot scenarios

Evidentiary Architecture

A strong evidentiary appendix should not try to prove every philosophical sentence. It should show that the named risks are historically real, that corrective machinery has precedent, that repeatable pilot metrics exist, and that recurring disputes can be routed before they become system crises. - - - Historical breakdown examples: secrecy, document destruction, delayed disclosure, manipulated narratives, and selective enforcement. Corrective examples: independent audit reforms, open-record regimes, conviction-integrity models, transparency orders, portable digital-rights frameworks. Pilot metrics: disclosure compliance, complaint-response time, number of reopened suspect cases, restitution collection, Article VI enforcement rate, budget balance across defense, review, custody, treatment, and repair. Synthetic-systems evidence standards: audit logs, model-state change history, and no black box authority over liberty.

Public Case-Study Matrix

Case type Illustrative public pattern Canon lesson Best connection Industrial / nuclear concealment delayed disclosure after catastrophic failure L-AJS Articles VI and

IX

institutional self protection magnifies harm Codex failure modes; GLC truth duties Financial fraud and audit failure complex corporate deception and collapsed oversight complexity can launder crime unless records are contestable 10 11 War-pretext intelligence failure prestige and urgency overriding evidentiary humility public meaning can be corrupted by status and fear GLC public-meaning rules; Codex propaganda analysis Wrongful conviction patterns junk forensics, false confessions, suppression of exculpatory evidence finality without review becomes system crime L-AJS Articles II and

III

Opaque digital surveillance algorithmic targeting and hidden inference chains no black-box authority over liberty GLC digital-rights floor; L-AJS Article

XIII

Chronic underfunding defense collapse, plea coercion, review bottlenecks budgets can sabotage rights without formal repeal L-AJS Article XIV

Adoption Roadmap

Phase Primary objective Practical outputs Readiness signal

Phase 1 Orientation and

public legibility Summary Map, glossary, secular declaration option, reading sessions Readers can explain the stack and basic principles

Phase 2 Institutional diagnosis

Codex workshops, local failure-mode mapping, record-risk inventory Specific bottlenecks and corruption risks identified

Phase 3 Record integrity pilot

PRAS-like registry, complaint traceability, redaction-map discipline, public reporting prototype Records become harder to bury and easier to contest

Phase 4 Justice pilot

Classification procedures, victim rights enforcement, anti-starvation reporting, recusal protocols Reduction in arbitrary opacity and better review integrity

Phase 5 Reciprocity and

cooperation Model cooperation agreement, evidence sharing rules, anti Partner jurisdiction can cooperate without swallowing safe-haven screen captured rulings blindly

Phase 6

Synthetic governance readiness Inventory of systems affecting liberty, no black-box screening, immutable log requirements, human-review preservation

Dispute Resolution in Complex Scenarios

Scenario A - Local Reintegration Breakdown

Jurisdiction can prove synthetic systems do not silently govern rights-critical outcomes A community review board, treatment provider, and release-supervision office disagree over noncompliance thresholds for a reformable Class III offender. Best first route: mediation. Desired output: revised protocol, notification ladder, and measurable supervision expectations.

Scenario B - PRAS Vendor Failure

A vendor claims its platform met anti-tamper standards. The jurisdiction claims it failed auditability requirements and concealed state-history changes. Best first route: arbitration. Desired output: compliance ruling, remediation schedule, cost allocation, and migration order if necessary.

Scenario C - Captured Jurisdiction / Elite Safe Haven

A politically connected financial actor credibly classified under Class VI moves assets across jurisdictions while the home jurisdiction suppresses evidence and delays cooperation. Best route: adjudication, possibly preceded by urgent asset-preservation orders. Desired output: formal findings, cooperation mandate, reciprocity review, and anti-safe-haven enforcement.

Scenario D - Juvenile Synthetic Systems Case

A school district deploys a monitoring platform that flags a juvenile for elevated risk. Parents contest the system, the vendor invokes trade secrecy, and the child faces restrictive consequences. Mediation can address immediate safety and educational accommodations; arbitration can resolve vendor compliance duties; adjudication must resolve whether black-box reasoning affected liberty, dignity, or constitutional rights.

Suggested Supporting Artifacts

- - - public case-note packets for each case-study type model complaint forms model classification forms 12 - - - pilot metric dashboard template model cooperation agreement synthetic-systems audit checklist The canon becomes more convincing when it can say not only what ought to exist, but also what would count as evidence, what phase comes next, and how conflicts will be routed before they become systemic failure. 13